

**Gainesville Tea Party Local Candidate Questionnaire**  
**City of Gainesville Elections, 2012**

1. The Constitution

- a. If elected, you will take an oath to uphold the U.S. Constitution. Tell us about this oath and what it means to you. THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION IS THE SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND. AS A MEMBER OF THE BAR THE OATH I WOULD BE REQUIRED TO TAKE IF ELECTED WOULD SIMPLY BE A REAFFIRMATION OF MY EXISTING OBLIGATION AS A MEMBER OF THE BAR. AS TO WHAT UPHOLDING THE CONSTITUTION MEANS TO ME, IT MEANS FOLLOWING THE LETTER OF THE CONSTITUTION AS INTERPRETED BY THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT, AS WELL AS THE SPIRIT OF THE CONSTITUTION, NOT INCONSISTENT WITH SUPREME COURT PRECEDENT. THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AT ITS FOUNDATION IS BASED ON THE CONCEPT OF NATURAL LAW, WHICH, AS THE FOUNDERS UNDERSTOOD IT, IS ROOTED IN THE JUDEO-CHRISTIAN TRADITION. WHATEVER STARS IN THE HEAVENS GUIDE THE REST OF THE WORLD, THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION IS THE POLESTAR THAT HAS ALWAYS GUIDED THIS COUNTRY. I MAY BE ONE OF THE FEW RESPONDENTS TO THIS SURVEY WHO ACTUALLY HAS READ THE FEDERALIST PAPERS, WHICH, IN MY VIEW, PROVIDE AN IMPORTANT PRISM THROUGH WHICH CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS ARE TO BE VIEWED.
- b. What is your view on the sanctity of private property as it pertains to eminent domain? THE RULINGS OF THE U.S. SUPREME COURT FROM THE POLETOWN CASE DOWN TO THE PRESENT DAY HAVE, IN MY VIEW, SUBSTANTIALLY ERODED THE CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS AFFORDED BY THE FIFTH AMENDMENT TAKINGS CLAUSE. MY PERSONAL VIEW IS THAT THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HAS GONE TOO FAR IN THIS AREA OF CONSTITUTIONAL INTERPRETATION.
- c. Do you feel our government has curtailed liberties set forth in the Constitution? YES. Specifically, how do you view the 10<sup>th</sup> Amendment to the Constitution as it relates to local government? Explain your answer in detail. “THE POWERS NOT DELEGATED TO THE UNITED STATES BY THE CONSTITUTION NOR PROHIBITED TO IT BY THE STATES, ARE RESERVED TO THE STATES RESPECTIVELY, OR TO THE PEOPLE.” ON ITS FACE, NO PROVISION OF THE CONSTITUTION IS CLEARER. THE SUPREME COURT HAS DECIDED RELATIVELY FEW 10<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENT CASES. THE BRADY HANDGUN CASE, ONE OF THE LAST IF NOT THE LAST SUPREME COURT CASES DECIDED ON 10<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENT GROUNDS, WAS CORRECTLY DECIDED, IN MY VIEW. I DO NOT THINK THE STATES OR LOCAL GOVERNMENTS CAN OR SHOULD BE DIRECTLY FORCED TO ADMINISTER FEDERAL PROGRAMS, WHATEVER THE MERITS OF THE PROGRAM. IN THE BRADY (PRINTZ) CASE THE PROGRAM SOUGHT TO BE FORCED UPON THE STATES WAS THE REQUIREMENT OF STATE AND LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS TO PERFORM BACKGROUND CHECKS ON THOSE ATTEMPTING TO PURCHASE HANDGUNS. WHAT A STATE MAY REQUIRE, CONSISTENT WITH THE SECOND AMENDMENT, IS ANOTHER QUESTION.
- d. What can you do at the local level to stop, reverse, or prevent erosion of constitutionally-protected liberties? AS A CITY COMMISSIONER I WOULD CONSIDER IT MY DUTY TO CONSCIOUSLY CONSIDER THE IMPACT OF EVERY VOTE ON CONSTITUTIONALLY-PROTECTED LIBERTIES.

**Gainesville Tea Party Local Candidate Questionnaire**  
**City of Gainesville Elections, 2012**

2. Energy and Environment

- a. Do you support the biomass plant? Explain your answer. THE SO-CALLED GRU-GREC BIOMASS DEAL WAS NEGOTIATED IN SECRET AND ITS DETAILS KEPT FROM THE PUBLIC. IN MY VIEW IT GRU-GREC CONTRACT SHOULD BE DECLARED VOID BECAUSE IT WAS ADOPTED BY THE CITY COMMISSION IN VIOLATION OF THE FLORIDA GOVERNMENT IN THE SUNSHINE LAW.
- b. Do you support GRU being owned by the City of Gainesville, and if so, why is this arrangement better for the citizens of Gainesville and the GRU service area than a privately owned utility? GIVEN THE POOR MANAGEMENT OF GRU IN RECENT YEARS, AND UNNECESSARILY EXPENSIVE ELECTRICITY RATES CURRENT BEING CHARGED, AND PROJECTED TO BE CHARGED IN THE FUTURE AS A RESULT OF THE GRU-GREC BIOMASS DEAL, IT IS BECOMING INCREASINGLY DIFFICULT TO JUSTIFY THE CITY'S ELECTRIC UTILITY NOT BEING SOLD OFF TO A PRIVATE COMPANY IF THE RESULT WOULD RESULT IN SUBSTANTIAL COST SAVINGS TO CITY RESIDENTS AND CURRENT GRU ELECTRICITY USERS. BECAUSE THE CURRENT CITY COMMISSION HAS EFFECTIVELY AND INEFFICIENTLY PRIVATIZED A PORTION OF THE UTILITY ANYWAY, AND GIVING THE CITY COMMISSION'S SANCTION OF BEHIND-CLOSED-DOOR DECISION-MAKING, THE NOTION THAT THE UTILITY CONTINUES TO BE "OWNED BY THE PEOPLE IT SERVES" IS ABOUT TO BECOME A QUIANT HISTORICAL MEMORY. AT THIS POINT MY VIEW IS THAT UNLESS THE CITY COMMISSION VERY SOON REVERSES COURSE AND DEMONSTRATES A PROPER REGARD FOR ITS FIDUCIARY RESPONSIBILITY TO GRU RATEPAYERS IF I WERE TO BECOME A MEMBER OF THE CITY COMMISSION I WOULD SERIOUSLY CONSIDER SELLING OFF THE ELECTRICITY-GENERATING PORTION OF GRU, PROVIDED THAT A FAIR PRICE COULD BE NEGOTIATED AND PROVIDED THAT PROFITS GENERATED FROM THE SALE WOULD BE USED FIRST TO RETIRE EXISTING DEBT (OF BOTH GRU AND THE CITY). THIS IS NOT A DECISION I WOULD MAKE LIGHTLY, GIVEN THE PROBLEMS THAT EXIST WITH REGARD TO STATE POLITICIANS INTERFERING WITH THE PRIVATE UTILITY REGULATORY PROCESS.
- c. Why is it better for GRU to build the new power plant than to purchase power from already existing reliable and cost effective power providers? GRU IS NOT BUILDING THE NEW POWER PLANT. GRU IS EFFECTIVELY ONLY LEASING THE PLANT, AND AT A COST FAR, FAR ABOVE WHAT IT WOULD COST GRU TO BUILD THE POWER PLANT ITSELF. SO IF GRU WERE TO EFFICIENTLY BUILD ITS OWN POWER PLANT IT MIGHT BE MORE RELIABLE, AND LESS EXPENSIVE, FOR GRU NOT TO PURCHASE POWER FROM THE OUTSIDE. I BELIEVE GRU COULD BUILD AN 87-MEGAWATT NATURAL GAS PLANT FOR APPROXIMATELY \$80 MILLION, COMPARED TO THE GRU-GREC CONTRACT "LEASE" PRICE OF THE GREC BIOMASS PLANT – WHICH I BELIEVE WILL COST MORE THAN \$1.3 BILLION (NOT INCLUDING FUEL COSTS OR MAINTENANCE COSTS OF PROPERTY TAX COSTS, WHICH UNDER THE GRU-GREC DEAL WILL BY MY ESTIMATION AMOUNT TO ABOUT \$2 BILLION MORE). BUT IF FOR SOME REASON GRU WERE NOT ABLE TO BUILD ITS OWN PLANT, IT WOULD SURE MAKE MORE SENSE TO BUY ELECTRICITY ON THE OPEN MARKET FOR \$80 PER MEGAWATT HOUR THAN TO PAY MORE THAN \$130 PER MEGAWATT HOUR FOR GREC BIOMASS PRODUCED POWER, WHICH IS GRU'S LOWBALL ESTIMATE.

**Gainesville Tea Party Local Candidate Questionnaire**  
**City of Gainesville Elections, 2012**

- d. Do you accept the stated claims that salvaging biomass locally to fuel the new power plant will not harm our fragile environment? Explain your answer in detail. ANY POWER PLANT IS GOING TO HARM THE ENVIRONMENT IN SOME WAY. BUT THE GREC BIOMASS PLANT WILL DRAW AT LEAST 1.4 MILLION GALLONS FROM THE AQUIFER EVERY DAY (ONLY 1 MILLION IF SOME OF THE WATER IS RECLAIMED WATER, WHICH MAY BE THE CASE, THOUGH IF THE RECLAIMED WATER IS PUMPED IN, GRU, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, WILL BE REQUIRED TO UNDERWRITE THE COST OF THE RECLAIMED WATER FOR GREC. AS A POINT OF REFERENCE, MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THE WATER USAGE FOR A COMBINED CYCLE NATURAL GAS PLANT PRODUCING THE SAME AMOUNT OF ELECTRICITY WOULD BE AT LEAST 75 PERCENT LESS). MY UNDERSTANDING, HAVING CONSULTED WITH MEDICAL EXPERTS, IS THAT THE GREC PLANT WILL SPEW FAR MORE DANGEROUS 2.5 PPM PARTICULATE INTO THE AIR THAN WOULD A NATURAL GAS PLANT.
- e. Large trucks will add significant usage to Alachua County's already under-maintained roads. Would you support a usage tax to be paid by GRU to Alachua County to compensate for the additional maintenance that will be required? If not, provide a rationale that justifies your position. AS A GAINESVILLE CITY COMMISSIONER, WITH FIDUCIARY RESPONSIBILITY TO THE CITIZENS OF GAINESVILLE, I WOULD NOT BE INCLINED TO SUPPORT A USAGE TAX TO BE PAID BY GRU TO ALACHUA COUNTY TO COMPENSATE FOR THE ADDITIONAL ROAD MAINTENANCE THAT LIKELY WILL BE REQUIRED AS A RESULT OF 100,000 25-TON TRUCK TRIPS THAT WILL RESULT FROM THE BIOMASS PLANT. I WOULD SUPPORT A TAX ON EVERY PUBLIC OFFICIAL WHO VOTED TO APPROVE THE GRU-GREC PLANT WITHOUT BOTHERING TO ACCOUNT FOR THE ROAD DAMAGE THE PLANT WILL VISIT UPON THIS COMMUNITY.
3. Limiting/Expanding the Role of Government
- a. When you get to city commission, what specific programs/agencies would you abolish? I DON'T HAVE SUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO ANSWER THIS QUESTION AT THIS TIME. I HAVE COMMENTED ABOVE ABOUT MY WILLINGNESS TO CONSIDER SELLING OFF GRU IF THE MANAGEMENT CANNOT BE RIGHTED. BEYOND THAT I HAVE A LEARNING CURVE AHEAD OF ME.
- b. What is the proper role of local government? IN MY ESTIMATION, LOCAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD (1) SERVE AS AN ADVOCATE FOR LOCAL CITIZENS, AND (2) DELIVER TO LOCAL CITIZENS THE SERVICES THEY NEED AND FOR WHICH THEY ARE WILLING TO PAY, TO THE EXTENT THAT THOSE SERVICES CANNOT BE PROVIDED MORE CHEAPLY AND WITH GREATER ACCOUNTABILITY BY THE PRIVATE SECTOR. HAND IN HAND WITH THESE TWO IMPORTANT ROLES, THE MAYOR AND CITY COMMISSIONERS, IN MY VIEW, SHOULD STRIVE TO KNOW, EMPIRICALLY, WHERE CITIZENS STAND ON ALL IMPORTANT ISSUES THAT COME BEFORE THE CITY COMMISSION. THIS REQUIRES, AT A MINIMUM, ALLOWING CITIZENS SUFFICIENT TIME TO PRESENT THEIR VIEWS, WHICH SHOULD BE WELCOMED AND RECEIVED WITH RESPECT. IN INSTANCES WHERE THE CONSEQUENCES OF A DECISION ARE GREAT, CITIZENS SHOULD BE INVOLVED IN THE DECISION-MAKING PROCESS (IN REALITY, NOT JUST IN ORCHESTRATED "CHARETTES.")

**Gainesville Tea Party Local Candidate Questionnaire**  
**City of Gainesville Elections, 2012**

c. Do you support International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI)/Local Governments for Sustainability/Agenda 21? Explain. NO. BASED ON MY UNDERSTANDING – EVEN WITHOUT GETTING TO THE ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST AGENDA 21, WHICH I ONLY VAGUELY UNDERSTAND – THIS IS NOT SOMETHING PROPERLY WITHIN THE AMBIT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, IN MY OPINION.

4. Economic Philosophy and Economic Development

a. What do you view as strengths and weaknesses of the free market? THIS QUESTION WOULD REQUIRE A BOOK LENGTH RESPONSE. MY SHORT ANSWER IS THAT A FREE MARKET IS ESSENTIAL TO A FREE PEOPLE. IN A TRULY FREE MARKET, WITH FREE FLOWING INFORMATION, IT IS HARD TO FIND ANY WEAKNESSES, PARTICULARLY WHEN THE FREE MARKET OPERATES IN A COUNTRY SUCH AS OURS THAT OPERATES UNDER THE RULE OF LAW, AND PROTECTS THE RIGHTS OF CITIZENS THROUGH THE BILL OF RIGHTS.

b. What would you do to make the city more fiscally responsible? Explain. AGAIN, THIS QUESTION WOULD REQUIRE A BOOK LENGTH RESPONSE. MY SHORT ANSWER IS THAT FISCALLY RESPONSIBILITY BEGINS WITH ELECTORAL RESPONSIBILITY. WITHOUT FULL INFORMATION SHARING AND GOVERNMENT IN THE SUNSHINE, ELECTED LEADERS ARE ABLE TO MAKE FISCALLY IRRESPONSIBLE DECISIONS WITH THE COMFORT OF KNOWING THEY WILL NOT BE HELD RESPONSIBLE FOR THOSE DECISIONS. FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY BY GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS BEGINS, IN MY OPINION, WITH INFORMATION SHARING AND ACCOUNTABILITY.

c. Do you feel our present city and county standards are favorable to business development? If not, then explain what changes you would make to promote business development. FAVORABLE ON WHAT SORT OF SCALE OF MEASURE? I DO BELIEVE THAT MANY SMALL BUSINESSES IN THIS COMMUNITY ARE HAMPERED BY LOCAL REGULATIONS THAT DO NOT WORK WELL IN THE REAL WORLD. THESE REGULATIONS IN MOST CASES CANNOT AND SHOULD NOT BE CONSIDERED IN ISOLATION. HOWEVER, IF YOU HAVE SPECIFIC REGULATIONS THAT YOU DO BELIEVE CAN BE EVALUATED IN ISOLATION, AND WISH TO ASK A QUESTION ABOUT THAT PARTICULAR REGULATION I WILL ATTEMPT TO PROVIDE A COMPLETE ANSWER. ON A MACRO LEVEL, I CAN SAY THAT I BELIEVE THAT INSTITUTIONALIZED ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT EFFORTS ARE OFTEN BUREAUCRATIC NIGHTMARES. THE BEAUTY OF THE FREE MARKET SYSTEM IS THAT – PARTICULARLY IN THIS INTERNET AGE OF INFORMATION AVAILABILITY AND SHARING – BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT TENDS TO TAKE PLACE ON ITS OWN; RESOURCES AND EFFORT TEND TO FLOW TO THOSE PLACES WHERE SUCH IS MOST LIKELY TO BE REWARDED.

5. Transportation

a. Should we allocate more funds to repairing existing roads and building new ones? NOT WITHOUT FIRST SETTING CRITERIA FOR SPENDING THE MONEY THAT REFLECT ACTUAL COMMUNITY VALUES (AS OPPOSED TO MERELY THEORETICAL VALUES FAVORED BY ELECTED OFFICIALS THEMSELVES), AND NOT WITHOUT FIRST DEMONSTRATING THAT FUNDS ALREADY ALLOCATED ARE BEING USED EFFICIENTLY AND IN FURTHERANCE OF ACTUL COMMUNITY VALUES.

**Gainesville Tea Party Local Candidate Questionnaire**  
**City of Gainesville Elections, 2012**

- b. Do you support more spending on RTS? I AM NOT AS UP TO SPEED AS I HOPE TO BE ON THE RTS FUNDING FRAMEWORK. MY SENSE IS THAT SO MUCH OF RTS' FUNDING COMES FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND FROM STUDENT FEES COLLECTED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA AND SANTA FE COMMUNITY COLLEGES THAT TO SOME EXTENT THE CITY'S CONTRIBUTIONS ARE NOT THE ISSUE.
- c. Should we privatize the bus system? I HAVE HEARD NO ARGUMENTS FOR DOING THIS. MY INCLINATION WOULD BE TO SAY NO. BUT I'M OPEN TO HEARING OTHER VIEWS.
- d. Do you favor the narrowing of city thoroughfares? Include in your answer the present plans to for the 16<sup>th</sup> Avenue project. UNLIKE SOME, I DO NOT UNIFORMLY CONDEMN THE NARROWING OF ALL ROADS AT ALL TIMES FOR ALL PURPOSES. IN SOME AREAS, I CAN SEE GOOD ECONOMIC AND QUALITY OF LIFE REASONS FOR CUTTING BACK ON THE NUMBER OF LANES, PROVIDED THAT THE RESULT ISN'T GRIDLOCK (WHICH, AT A MINIMUM, I WOULD THINK, WOULD REQUIRE TURNING LANES, AND BUS PULL OUTS). THE USE OF THE TERM "THOROUGHFARES" IN THIS QUESTION POSITS A REALITY THAT ALMOST REQUIRES AN ANSWER OF "NO." IF A ROAD IS A THOROUGHFARE – INTENDED TO MOVE TRAFFIC FROM ONE SPECIFIC AREA TO ANOTHER – ALTERING THE ROAD IN SUCH A WAY AS TO DISCOURAGE THE USE OF THE ROAD TO GET FROM ONE PLACE TO ANOTHER WOULD IN EFFECT BE CHANGING A ROAD FROM A THOROUGHFARE TO SOMETHING ELSE. IT WOULD SEEM THAT THERE WOULD HAVE TO BE A HIGH STANDARD OF PROOF TO SUPPORT A CHANGE FROM USE OF THE ROAD AS A THOROUGHFARE TO SOME OTHER USE. AS TO THE 16<sup>TH</sup> AVENUE PROJECT, MY OFFICE IS ON 16<sup>TH</sup> AVENUE; IT IS VIRTUALLY IMPOSSIBLE TO GET OUT OF MY OFFICE PARKING LOT IN THE AFTERNOONS AS IT IS. I CAN'T IMAGINE HOW THE 16<sup>TH</sup> AVENUE PROJECT IS GOING TO MAKE THE EXISTING BAD SITUATION ANY BETTER.
- a. Do you think local taxes are too high? Yes  No
- b. Do you favor the use of eminent domain for non-public-related needs (per amendment 5 of the Constitution)? Yes  No
- c. Are municipal unions too powerful? Yes  No  I DON'T KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT MUNICIPAL UNIONS IN GENERAL TO ANSWER QUESTIONS ABOUT MUNICIPAL UNIONS IN GENERAL.
- d. Should union membership be voluntary? Yes  No  IN MOST CASES YES.
- e. Do you support the gender identity ordinance? Yes  No  WHAT IS THE "GENDER IDENTITY ORDINANCE?" IF IT IS AN ANTI-DISCRIMINATION ORDINANCE, I LIKELY WOULD SUPPORT IT. IN THIS I MAY HAVE A DIFFERENT VIEW THAN MOST TEA PARTY MEMBERS. HAS THERE BEEN SOME REPORTED PROBLEM WITH THE 2009 ORDINANCE RELATED TO GENDER IDENTITY?
- f. Would you support privatizing GRU? Yes  No  I'VE ANSWERED THIS QUESTION ABOVE; I APPRECIATE THE DESIRE FOR A YES OR NO ANSWER, BUT THERE ARE TOO MANY VARIABLES TO HONESTLY ANSWER THIS QUESTION (AND OTHER OF THESE QUESTIONS THAT REQUIRE YES OR NO ANSWERS) .

**Gainesville Tea Party Local Candidate Questionnaire**  
**City of Gainesville Elections, 2012**

g. Do you feel our local taxpayers do enough for homeless people? Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_ EVERY PERSON HAS A MORAL RESPONSIBILITY TO PROVIDE ASSISTANCE TO THE LEAST FORTUNATE AMONG US. HOW THAT RESPONSIBILITY SHOULD BE ALLOCATED, AND HOW IT SHOULD BE CARRIED OUT, ARE DIFFERENT QUESTIONS. AS MEMBERS OF A COMMUNITY, WE SHARE OUR VIEWS AS TO THE APPROPRIATE LEVEL OF COMMUNITY PROVIDED ASSISTANCE, AND THEN, WHEN THE COMMUNITY REACHES ITS CONSENSUS, WE ARE BOUND TO PAY OUR SHARE OF THAT COMMONLY DETERMINED ASSISTANCE. ONCE THAT DECISION HAS BEEN REACHED AND CARRIED OUT, WE EACH HAVE OUR OWN INDIVIDUAL MORAL RESPONSIBILITY, WHICH WILL BE TO SOME EXTENT AFFECTED BY THE COMMUNITY'S DECISION, BUT WHICH IS NOT ELIMINATED BY THE COMMUNITY'S DECISION. I PERSONALLY DO NOT FEEL THAT THIS IS AN UNCARING COMMUNITY, OR THAT LOCAL GOVERNMENT HAS DONE TO LITTLE. BUT, AGAIN, THIS IS A SEPARATE QUESTION FROM WHETHER EACH INDIVIDUAL HAS DONE ENOUGH. THAT QUESTION IS ONE THE ANSWER TO WHICH CAN ONLY BE KNOWN BY EACH INDIVIDUAL AND HIS OR HER GOD.

h. Do you support the one-stop homeless shelter? Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_ I DON'T KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT THE CURRENT PROJECT TO ANSWER THIS QUESTION. ABOUT TEN YEARS AGO I SERVED ON THE INITIAL CITY TASK FORCE THAT CONSIDERED THE CONCEPT OF A ONE-STOP HOMELESS SHELTER AND MY RECOMMENDATIONS ARE A MATTER OF RECORD – AS I RECALL, MY VIEWS WERE PRETTY MUCH THE SAME AS FELLOW PANEL MEMBER MARIE GUINETTE, WHO WAS THEN WORKING FOR CATHOLIC CHARITIES, AND SOMEWHAT DIFFERENT THAN FELLOW PANEL MEMBER JACK DONOVAN. WHAT I ENVISIONED WAS A BARE BONES FACILITY (WHICH AS I RECALL I SUGGESTED BE LOCATED NEAR MY NEIGHBORHOOD). I JUST DON'T KNOW RECALL MUCH MORE. I HAVEN'T EDUCATED MYSELF YET ON THE DETAILS OF THE CURRENT FACILITY, BUT MY VIEWS ON THE TOPIC OF A ONE-STOP HOMELESS SHELTER FROM A DECADE AGO PART OF THE PUBLIC RECORD AND CAN EASILY BE COMPARED TO WHAT IS CURRENTLY IN THE WORKS.

i. Do you support spending public funds on Ironwood golf course? Yes \_\_\_\_ No X

j. Do you support Alachua County Forever? Yes \_\_\_\_ No X

k. Do you feel local law enforcement agencies are overreaching? Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_ I DON'T UNDERSTAND THIS QUESTION. IF YOU PROVIDE ME WITH MORE INFORMATION AND CONTEXT I WILL BE GLAD TO TRY TO ANSWER IT.

I, RAY WASHINGTON have completed this form voluntarily and the above responses honestly represent my position and principles.

s/RAY WASHINGTON

November 20, 2011